

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES 1 2
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0001	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 18 March 2008	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. N/A	5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable) N/A		
6. ISSUED BY CODE NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER, DAHLGREN DIV. 17632 Dahlgren Road Suite 157 Dahlgren, VA 22448-5110		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) CODE			
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No. Street, county, State and ZIP: Code) (TO BE COMPLETED BY OFFERORS)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N00178-08-R-4000		
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 26 February 2008		
		<input type="checkbox"/>	10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.		
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)		
CODE	FACILITY CODE				
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended.					
Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:					
(a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning one (1) copy of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATA SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and data specified.					
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)					
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
<input type="checkbox"/>	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).				
<input type="checkbox"/>	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
<input type="checkbox"/>	D. OTHER Specify type of modification and authority				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return __ copies to the issuing office.					
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)					
THIS AMENDMENT PROVIDES THE ANSWERS TO THE QUESTIONS RECEIVED BY CLOSE OF BUSINESS ON 10 MARCH 2008, ADDS CLAUSES, "PRIVACY ACT NOTIFICATION" AND "PRIVACY ACT", AND UPDATES THE "ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION OF PAYMENT REQUESTS" CLAUSE.					
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.					
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)			16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR		15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		16C. DATE SIGNED
(Signature of person authorized to sign)			BY (Signature of Contracting Officer)		

This amendment is issued to add the clauses "Privacy Act Notification" and "Privacy Act", and to update the "Electronic Submission of Payment Requests" clause in Section I of the solicitation. It is also issued to provide answers to questions that were received by close of business on 10 March 2008.

1. Clauses 52.224-1, "Privacy Act Notification" and 52.224-2, "Privacy Act" are added to page 42 of the solicitation. A replacement page has been provided as Attachment 1 to this amendment.
2. The date for Clause number 252.232-7003, "Electronic Submission of Payment Requests", included on page 44 of the solicitation, is updated to read MAR 2008. A replacement page has been provided as Attachment 2 to this amendment.
3. The answers to questions received by close of business on 10 March 2008 are included as Attachment 3 to this amendment.

Attachments:

- 1) Replacement page 42, 1 page.
- 2) Replacement page 44, 1 page
- 3) Answers to Questions, 20 pages.

CONTINUATION SHEETREFERENCE NO. OF DOCUMENT BEING CONTINUED
N00178-08-R-4000PAGE
Page 42 of 85**NAME OF OFFEROR OR CONTRACTOR**

52.222-21	Prohibition Of Segregated Facilities	FEB 1999
52.222-22	Previous Contracts And Compliance Reports	FEB 1999
52.222-25	Affirmative Action Compliance	APR 1984
52.222-26	Equal Opportunity	MAR 2007
52.222-35	Equal Opportunity For Special Disabled Veterans, Veterans of the Vietnam Era, and Other Eligible Veterans	SEP 2006
52.222.36	Affirmative Action For Workers With Disabilities	JUN 1998
52.222-37	Employment Reports On Special Disabled Veterans, Veterans Of The Vietnam Era, and Other Eligible Veterans	SEP 2006
52.222-38	Compliance With Veteran Employment Reporting Requirements	DEC 2001
52.222-41	Service Contract Act Of 1965, As Amended	NOV 2007
52.222-43	Fair Labor Standards Act And Service Contract Act – Price Adjustment (Multiple Year And Options)	NOV 2006
52.222-50	Combating Trafficking in Persons	AUG 2007
52.223-6	Drug-Free Workplace	MAY 2001
52.223-13	Certification of Toxic Chemical Release Reporting	AUG 2003
52.223-14	Toxic Chemical Release Reporting	AUG 2003
52.224-1	Privacy Act Notification	APR 1984
52.224-2	Privacy Act	APR 1984
52.225-8	Duty-Free Entry	FEB 2000
52.225-13	Restriction on Certain Foreign Purchase	FEB 2006
52.226-1	Utilization of Indian Organizations And Indian-Owned Economic Enterprises	JUN 2000
52.227-1	Authorization and Consent	DEC 2007
52.227-2	Notice And Assistance Regarding Patent And Copyright Infringement	DEC 2007
52.227-3	Patent Indemnity	APR 1984
52.227-10	Filing of Patent Applications—Classified Subject Matter	DEC 2007
52.227-11	Patent Rights—Ownership by the Contractor	DEC 2007
52.227-13	Patent Rights—Ownership By The Government	DEC 2007
52.228-7	Insurance—Liability To Third Persons	MAR 1996
52.229-3	Federal, State And Local Taxes	APR 2003
52.230-2	Cost Accounting Standards	APR 1998
52.230-3	Disclosure And Consistency Of Cost Accounting Practices	APR 1998
52.230-6	Administration of Cost Accounting Standards	APR 2005
52.232-1	Payments	APR 1984
52.232-8	Discounts For Prompt Payments	FEB 2002
52.232-9	Limitation On Withholding Of Payments	APR 1984
52.232-11	Extras	APR 1984
52.232-16	Progress Payments	APR 2003
52.232-17	Interest	JUN 1996
52.232-18	Availability Of Funds	APR 1984
52.232-20	Limitation of Cost	APR 1984
52.232-22	Limitation of Funds	APR 1984
52.232-23	Assignment Of Claims	JAN 1986
52.232-25	Prompt Payment	OCT 2003
52.232-25 Alt I	Prompt Payment (Oct 2003) – Alternate I	FEB 2002
52.232-33	Payment by Electronic Funds Transfer – Central Contractor Registration	OCT 2003
52.233-1	Disputes	JUL 2002
52.233-3	Protest After Award	AUG 1996
52.233-3 Alt I	Protest After Award (Aug 1996) - Alternate I	JUN 1985
52.233-4	Applicable Law for Breach of Contract Claim	OCT 2004
52.237-3	Continuity Of Services	JAN 1991
52.237-10	Identification of Uncompensated Overtime	OCT 1997
52.242-1	Notice of Intent to Disallow Costs	APR 1984
52.242-3	Penalties for Unallowable Costs	MAY 2001
52.242-4	Certification of Final Indirect Costs	JAN 1997

NAME OF OFFEROR OR CONTRACTOR

252.227-7034	Patents—Subcontracts	APR 1984
252.227-7037	Validation of Restrictive Markings on Technical Data	SEP 1999
252.227-7039	Patents—Reporting Of Subject Inventions	APR 1990
252.232-7003	Electronic Submission of Payment Requests	MAR 2007
252.232-7004	DOD Progress Payment Rates	OCT 2001
252.232-7010	Levies on Contract Payments	SEP 2005
252.239-7001	Information Assurance Contractor Training and Certification	JAN 2008
252.243-7001	Pricing Of Contract Modifications	DEC 1991
252.243-7002	Requests for Equitable Adjustment	MAR 1998
252.244-7000	Subcontracts for Commercial Items and Commercial Components (DoD Contracts)	JAN 2007
252.246-7001	Warranty Of Data	DEC 1991
252.247-7023	Transportation of Supplies by Sea	MAY 2002
252.247-7024	Notification Of Transportation Of Supplies By Sea	MAR 2000

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS FOR SOLICITATION N00178-08-R-4000

Q.1 On Page 76, 4.3 , Volume 1 – Technical Proposal, Evaluation Factor 1: Technical Capability Reference is made to “Table A” in two places. Under (a) Depth and Breath, a Table A is required “.....for each zone Proposed” (I assume that this is only for the prime). Under “Subcontracting” Table A is also required (I assume this Table A – one for each zone proposed – is only for the subcontractors) In this case is one Table A required for each subcontractor for each zone in which the subcontractor is proposed. In this case if there were three subcontractors and each were bid in all seven zones, this would be 21 Table A’s for just the subcontractors. Is the above assumption correct?

A. No, you would not need to prepare a separate Table A for each team member being proposed. The Table A can incorporate the capability/experience of both the prime contractor and any team member being proposed. On page 2 of the Table A, under the column entitled “Employer/Location”, you would indicate if the employee being referenced is an employee of the prime contractor or a team member. Additional information can be provided in the narrative section of the technical proposal.

Q.2 Meaning of “Repetitive High Dollar Value” tasks (H.10):

What is the definition of High Dollar Value Repetitive Tasks? We anticipate performing support services under any resulting task orders. It is difficult to sign up to a discount on repetitive task, as we would assume the support performed is a product of providing the appropriate level of effort and technical expertise—there is no inherent discount attainable with this type of work on a repetitive basis. If this were manufacturing, we could estimate the benefits of an improvement curve. We wish to better understand the meaning of Section H.10 (A).

A. There is no set threshold as to what would constitute a high dollar value repetitive task. This decision would be made at the activity with the requirement and would state in the task order solicitation if the cost reductions would be in effect. The percentages to include in the H.10 clause is a management decision that must be made by your company as to what cost reductions you would include under subsequent years for the high dollar repetitive tasks.

Q.3 Proposal Requirements of Table A (Attachment J.4):

The Question and Answer (Attachment J.6) provided with the solicitation state that Table A should be limited to the two pages shown on Attachment J.4. We wish to ensure we understand that statement. Are we limited to a total of two physical pages? Or are we allowed to replicate the format of Table A to include multiple pages of “Past Performance Information” (maintaining the specified format) and including multiple pages of “Workforce Qualification” (maintaining the specified format), effectively increasing the Table A to three or more pages?

A. It is desired that the Table A remain as 2 pages. Additional information can be included in the narrative section of the technical proposal.

Q.4 In the Questions and Answers for Draft Solicitation N00178-08-R-4000, Q. 32. The question asks, "Is there a limit to the number of pages for Table A itself?" and the Answer states, "Table A should be limited to the 2 pages shown on Attachment J.4." We understand that Answer to mean that a prime's total submission of Table A completely filled out (including both Page 1 asking for past performance information and Page 2 asking about workforce qualification) may only be two total pages in length? Is that correct? We ask because the information requested seems to be more than will physically fit on the one line that the limit will allow. For example, Page 2 of Table A, asks for "Brief Description of Experience Relevant to Functional Area Within Past 3 Years" Does the government want only a few words in response? A longer response would push the table over the page limit.

A. It is desired that the Table A remain as 2 pages. A brief description can be provided on Table A with the additional information provided in the narrative section of the technical proposal.

Q.5 In Table A, Page 1 of 2, the column reading "Data Completed". Should that column read "Date Completed"?

A. Yes, the column should read "Date Completed". It is correct in the pdf version of Table A included as an attachment to the solicitation. The Word version of the Table A has been corrected.

Q.6 From Page 79, section 5.6 Zone Considerations – "Presence" We understand Zone Qualifying Criteria to be:

1) a company has a physical office in a particular zone OR 2) a company works on a contract issued in a particular zone (no matter where the work for the contract is actually performed and does not have to be the same zone where the company's office is located). Is this correct? Example of qualifying criteria 1) above: LMN Company has an office in Texas. LMN Company qualifies for Zone 4. Is this correct? Example of qualifying criteria 2) above: ABC Company is located in Hawaii but works on a contract issued in Zone 2 – ABC Company qualifies for Zone 2. Is this correct?

A. There are three ways that a prime contractor can meet the presence criteria for a zone. First, the prime contractor has a local office in that zone. Secondly, the prime contractor has received a prime contract or subcontract from an activity located in that zone. Third, a team member has a local office in the zone. In the examples provided in the question, company LMN has a local office in Texas so therefore would meet the presence criteria for Zone 4. Company ABC would meet the criteria for zones 2 and 6 (their office is located in Zone 6 and they have received a prime contract from an activity located in Zone 2).

Q.7 If presence is already established through an offeror's local office in a particular zone, does the contract referenced in Table A to validate the Seaport functional areas have to be in the same zone being addressed in that table?

A. The contracts referenced in Table A should demonstrate how your company meets the presence criteria for the zone(s) being proposed. The contracts that can be referenced are not limited to the zone where the offeror's office is located.

Q.8 Referencing Government answers to questions 28, 40, 46, 52, and 54, "Work performed for other than Navy, Marine Corps, or DTRA activities could be considered meaningful if it is equivalent to the work described in the Seaport e SOW and performed for the DoD". Also referencing RFP section L 5.4.1, "Experience in these functional areas may be gained from working with any of the Navy, Marine Corps and/or DTRA activities as well as other Government organizations" Would the Government consider adding other Government organizations such as NASA and the DOE to the answers to the above mentioned questions?

A. The answers provided to questions concerning the draft solicitation remain in effect. It is desired that the work referenced in the proposal to demonstrate technical capability be performed for one of the authorized ordering offices. If other work is referenced that was performed for DoD and is equivalent to the work included in the Seaport e Statement of Work, it may be considered meaningful.

Q.9 Please verify that Offerors do not need to input anything in Section B "fill-in" for CLINs 2000, 3000, 5000, 6000, 8000 and 9000.

A. You are correct – only those areas in the solicitation designated with an * are required to be filled in by the offeror.

Q.10 Please verify that the amount entered on Page 4, Base Period would be a sum of adding CLINs 1000 through 3000; the amount for Award Term 1 would be the sum of adding CLINs 4000 through 6000; and the amount for Award Term 2 would be the sum of adding CLINs 7000 through 9000.

A. That is correct.

Q.11 The SEAPORT-e Registration website requires Offerors to enter Ceiling Amounts for all CLINs. Do the Offerors enter the maximum amounts provided in Section B for CLINs 2000, 3000, 5000, 6000, 8000 and 9000 already provided by the Government? If not, please explain what the Offerors are to enter.

A. Yes, the amounts included in the solicitation for these CLINs should be entered in the Registration website.

Q.12 Can solutions inclusive of engineering, equipment, labor, and ODCs (such as a new Private Branch Exchange/telephone switch for example) be solicited and proposed entirely under the FFP CLINs 2000, 5000, and 8000?

A. If the requirement is determined to be suitable for a FFP type of task order, then those CLINs will be reflected in the task order solicitation.

Q.13 If the answer to the above question is yes, can the government confirm that under CLINS 2000, 5000, and 8000 offerors may burden all solution elements including equipment and ODCs with fee?

A. Profit may be applied to all allowable costs under a FFP type task order.

Q.14 How is the contract type, such as CPFF or FFP, determined?

A. The ordering office will look at the requirement and determine which type of task order is the most appropriate.

Q.15 What percentage of awards last year on Seaport-e were FFP?

A. Approximately 15% of task order solicitations released last year were on a Firm Fixed Price basis.

Q.16 Regarding TABLE A Sheet 1 attachment J4 column 5, is this DATE Completed or DATA Completed?

A. The column should read "Date Completed". It is correct in the pdf version of Table A included as an attachment to the solicitation. The Word version of Table A has been updated.

Q.17 Regarding TABLE A Sheet 2 attachment J4 column 6, can the government provide a definition of what is meant by Contingent Employee?

A. A contingent employee is someone that is not currently an employee of the offeror.

Q.18 Page 79 Sec 5.5 (c) Compensation Plan for Professional Employees – can the government elaborate on what information it is seeking?

A. This Plan explains the salaries and fringe benefits that are proposed for the professional employees of the offeror. Please refer to FAR 52.222-46 for further explanation of the Plan.

Q.19 Page 31 (H.9 Contract Award Term Provisions) and Award Term Plan (Attachment J.2). It appears that the evaluation criteria for the award term options have changed from

what was listed in the previous Rolling Admissions solicitations. Will all contractors be evaluated on the same criteria?

A. Yes, all prime contractors will be evaluated on the same criteria. All existing prime contracts under Seaport e will be modified to incorporate the changes associated with this Rolling Admissions which will include the revised Award Term Plan and evaluation criteria contained in the N00178-08-R-4000 solicitation.

Q.20 Reference, page 79, Additional Instructions to Offeror's, Paragraph, 5.5, Volume II – Cost/Price Proposal, subparagraph (b), Ceiling Unit Price for CLIN 1000: "The offeror shall provide a breakdown of the derivation of the ceiling unit price... The offeror's price breakdown shall not exceed one page. The Government shall not consider any information presented beyond the last word of the 1st page." If an offeror is going to use the labor rate of a Team member who submits a sealed envelope directly to the Government or as part of the Prime Offeror's proposal submission is that page considered the 1st page as described in the reference above or in addition to the Prime's 1st page submission?

A. If the rate from a team member is being used, the cost breakdown submitted directly to the Government should not exceed one page. The team member's submission can be in addition to the prime contractor's one page submission.

Q.21 Reference Attachment J.6: There seems to be some inconsistency in your answers to Questions 2 and 33 concerning Consultants. Could you please define your definition of consultant and then clarify if they are considered direct labor or an Other Direct Cost?

A. If a company is using a consultant to perform under a task order, the consultant would be classified under the Labor CLIN (the 1000 series) and not as an Other Direct Cost under the 3000 CLIN series. If a contractor is bidding on a small business set aside requirement where the prime contractor is required to perform at least 50% of the effort, the costs associated with the consultant would be not able to be included in the calculations. Only those labor costs associated with employees of the prime contractor could be used in the calculation as to whether the 50% rule has been met.

Q.22 Please reference Attachment J.6, your answer to Q.1 We don't have a DCAA approved accounting system yet. Our question: If we have a teaming agreement with someone who has an approved accounting system, will we be eligible to bid on CLINs 1000 and 3000 or will we have to wait for DCAA to look at and approve our system?

A. The prime contractor needs to have a DCAA approved accounting system to be considered for cost type orders. A team member's accounting system would not be relevant. The prime contractor can still bid on CLINs 1000 and 3000 and these would be included in any resultant contract. The contractor would not be able to receive any cost type task orders, however, until the accounting system had been approved by DCAA.

Q.23 Table A asks for “Mission Areas For Which Function Was Performed”. I am unable to access the referenced portion of the Seaport website to find this information. Can you point me in the right direction or send a document with this reference information?

A. If you go to the link that is specified in the Table A included in the pdf version of the solicitation, you will have access to the Mission Areas document. The link has been corrected in the Word version of the Table A.

Q.24 Question #29 indicates that it is possible to get an “outstanding” on the evaluation with no subs (I assume the question is referring to a large business). The evaluation criteria state that you must have a 30% subcontractor plan to get an outstanding. There is a third category of non-small businesses – those growing businesses who do not meet the \$25M size standard, but that are not billion dollar companies. Is it possible to get an outstanding with one of two subs only?

A. Yes, if your proposal meets the criteria for an “Outstanding” rating that are specified in Section M of the solicitation.

Q.25 For larger small businesses – greater than \$25M, but not huge – it is very difficult to show relevance in 18-22 functional areas in order to qualify for an outstanding rating. Is the evaluation criteria hard and fast, or merely a guideline?

A. Proposals are evaluated in accordance with the criteria established in Section M of the solicitation. For a large business to receive an “Outstanding” rating for Technical Capability, experience in 18 -22 Functional Areas must be demonstrated in the proposal.

Q.26 Section B, pages two and three:

Item numbers 1000-1999 and 4000-4999 call for maxima of 72 and 90 million hours which could allow for contracts in the one and two billion dollar range. Are the maximum hours and maximum amounts to apply to an individual contractor’s contract or is this a representation of all effort under all contracts to be awarded? If the maxima do apply is the line item calculation to be proposed $72,000,000 \text{ hours max} \times \text{Ceiling Unit Price (highest offered labor hour rate)} = \text{MAX AMOUNT}$?

A. The hours included in CLINs 1000, 4000, and 7000 represent estimates of all requirements that the authorized ordering offices would have under Seaport e. The calculation provided in the question is the correct one to use in order to determine the Maximum Amount.

Q.27 Section L, page 77, paragraph 5.3.1.1 and page 79 paragraph 5.5 (b):

If the Section B pricing is calling for a single loaded labor hour rate, is the para. 5.5 (b) "breakdown of the derivation of the ceiling unit price" calling only a single rate too? That is, do you want to see breakdowns of all labor categories which may be used to price task order solicitations?

A. The proposal needs to include the highest fully burdened rate for a single labor category – a composite rate of all labor categories should not be used. The proposal should demonstrate how the single loaded labor rate included in CLIN 1000 was calculated.

Q.28 Section H, H.10 SAVINGS CLAUSE, page 32, paragraph c.:

The two bulleted statements seem to conflict with the statement following them. The bullets say to add all costs relevant to administering a subcontract (% multiplier to be added to dollar value of subcontracts) while the following says no "fees may be proposed or applied to subcontract costs." Please clarify.

A. The proposed pass through can consist of either all indirect rates (as explained in the first bullet), all fee (as indicated in the second bullet), or a combination of indirect rates and fee. The total pass through would not exceed the percentage included in paragraph C of the H.10 clause.

Q.29 Section K, page 57, Reps and Certs:

If an offeror is up to date in ORCA, do the hard copy Reps and Certs need also to be completed?

A. Section K of the solicitation needs to be completed. A Word version of the fill-ins is provided on the Seaport e homepage (www.seaport.navy.mil).

Q.30 I have a question on filling out Table A attachment J.4. There is a column for 3.1 to 3.22. There is a \$ Value column as well. The question is if the contract for a zone covered multiple line such as 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 do we divide equally the contract value and enter that value in block? What values are to be placed in the cells of the table, estimated per function or a repeat of the total contract value?

A. You would enter the total dollar value of the contract being referenced. You would not need to subdivide the total based on the individual Functional Areas. If you reference the same contract number in different areas of the Table A, you would repeat the total value of the contract.

Q.31 I have question on the first page of Form 33. If we are to provide an electronic copy of the Form 33 and the first page is not a downloadable fill in, how do you wish for us to handle this? Shall we append the first page to the .DOC file which includes all of the fill-in forms?

A. The SF33 does not need to be included in the electronic copy of your proposal to be submitted on the CD-ROM. You can fill in Blocks 12 through 18 of the SF33 and return that with the hard copy of your proposal submission.

Q.32 General question, no particular page reference -Our company is not yet set up for cost accounting, and we are submitting based on firm fixed offers. Are we correct in saying that we do NOT have to complete any of the fill in's regarding cost accounting in the solicitation?

A. It is desired that your company propose on both the cost type and firm fixed price CLINs. For those offerors who are successful in receiving an award, the Government will request that the cognizant DCAA office conduct an audit of the accounting system. Offerors would be limited to proposing on firm fixed price solicitations until their accounting system was deemed adequate. If an offeror chooses to propose on only the firm fixed price CLINs, this would need to be stated in the cover letter of the proposal and any cost fill-ins of the solicitation would be listed as "N/A". It is noted that if only firm fixed price CLINs are proposed, the offeror would be limited to those over the life of the contract – the cost CLINs could not be added at a later date.

Q.33 Page 4, 'Contract Minimum/Maximum Quantity and Contract Value' – how are we to determine the maximum amounts for Base Period, Award Term 1, and Award Term 2? We offer several technical services with varying prices.

A. The Maximum Amount for the base period would be the sum of CLINs 1000, 2000 and 3000. For the First Award Term, the total would be the sum of CLINs 4000, 5000, and 6000. For the Second Award Term, the total would be the sum of CLINs 7000, 8000, and 9000.

Q.34 Page 59, paragraph 2 (c) re: ORCA. Our company has completed the ORCA application – do we still need to fill in the necessary information in the solicitation?

A. Yes, the offeror should fully complete Section K of the solicitation.

Q.35 Page 75, Paragraph 3.11 states that Joint Venture Companies are excluded from award. We have interpreted this to mean that joint ventures formed for the sole purpose of this procurement will not be considered for award because it seems unlikely the Government would exclude LLCs, partnerships or other types of multi-owner corporate structures simply based upon their corporate structure. Is our interpretation of the clause correct?

A. If a proposal is submitted by a joint venture, it will not be considered for award.

Q.36 Referencing RFP Section L 5.2, Number 9. "A copy of the Prime contractor CCR..." If the offeror print out of the CCR Registration is more than the 5 page limit for the cover letter, how is it possible to comply with the page limit?

A. The printout of the CCR registration is an attachment to the cover letter and does not count against the 5 page limit of the cover letter.

Q.37 Referencing RFP section H.10 (a) “Cost reduction for repetitive high dollar value requirements”, what is the dollar figure above which a task order is considered high dollar?

A. There is no set threshold as to what would constitute a high dollar value repetitive task. This decision would be made at the activity with the requirement and would state in the task order solicitation if the cost reductions would be in effect.

Q.38 Referencing RFP section L5.6, Is the offeror allowed to use its office at a Government facility in a given zone to establish presence in that zone?

A. The office that is referenced to establish zone presence should be a local office that is not located at a Government facility.

Q.39 Referencing Section M 4.0 Management Approach Factor 3 Compounding of the 5% cost savings per year for the 11 years would be a roughly 70% price reduction, without considering inflation, to achieve an outstanding rating. Is this the correct interpretation of the cost savings clause?

A. The percentages to include in the H.10 clause is a management decision that must be made by your company as to what cost reductions you would include under subsequent years for the high dollar repetitive tasks. The percentages proposed would reduce the price for the services performed under each subsequent year of the task order.

Q.40 Page 76 of the RFP, Section 5.2 Cover Letter instructions – Item number 9 requires the cover letter to provide CCR Registration information from the CCR website. I have printed the CCR information from the website and it is four (4) pages in total. Do these 4 pages count against my five (5) page cover letter limit? If so, that only leaves me one (1) page left to provide all the other required information listed in the instructions. A possible solution would be to list the CCR Registration information as “Attachments” to the cover letter?

A. The printout of the CCR registration is an attachment to the cover letter and does not count against the 5 page limitation.

Q.41 On Page 75 of 85 in section 4.2 Proposal Format offerors are instructed that all files are to be named with the extension.doc, however, the solicitation is provided as an Acrobat file (*.pdf). If we are to fill in the Section at the top of each solicitation page

how are we to convert this all into MS Word *.doc files? Can a MS Word Version of the Solicitation be provided ?

A. A Word version of all solicitation fill-ins is available on the Seaport e homepage (www.seaport.navy.mil). It is not necessary to fill in your company's name at the top of each page of the solicitation.

Q.42 On Page 76 of 85, Section 5.2 Cover Letter, #9 requests a copy of contractors CCR registration information from the CCR website. Does this mean that we are to copy and paste the entire contents of the CCR web page into the cover letter? The challenge when doing so is that the CCR info from the web page takes approximately 4 pages while the overall cover letter is limited to only 5 pages? Would you please clarify which specific info from the CCR page is required in the cover letter?

A. The printout of the CCR registration is an attachment to the cover letter and does not count against the 5 page limitation.

Q.43 Completing Table A, column 7, requires that Offerors to identify the "Mission Areas For Which Function Was Performed." An Internet link is provided (www.seaport.navy.mil/activities), but that link results in the following message: "Forbidden You don't have permission to access /activities/ on this server." A previous solicitation indicated the needed information was actually available at http://www.seaport.navy.mil/activities/mission_areas.doc, but that link provides a draft document. Is this the correct document to use for determining Mission Areas For Which Function Was Performed?

A. The correct link is listed on the Table A included in the pdf version of the solicitation. The Word version of Table A has been corrected on the homepage. Although there is a "Draft" marking on the site, that is the correct document to review.

Q.44 Reference page 76 of 85, 5.0 Proposal Content. The letter supersedes the title page of the proposal and requires the Contractors CCR registration. Does the CCR Registration count towards the 5 page limit? Does the Government want the complete CCR Registration which could be upwards of 10 pages or the basic three pages as reference?

A. The printout of the CCR registration is an attachment to the cover letter and does not count against the 5 page limitation.

Q.45 Reference: Attachment J.4 - Table A - Past Performance Information Sheet
The referenced Table A Past Performance Information Sheet contains a column entitled "Mission Areas For Which Function Was Performed" along with a hyperlink to www.seaport.navy.mil/activities. We have attempted to access this link to completely understand what specific information is to be included in this column but are unable to access the site as access is denied. Please clarify exactly what information is to be

provided in the Mission Areas column or furnish how access can be obtained to the above internet site. This clarification is required so that the appropriate information can be reviewed in order to ensure accurate and compliant completion of the Table A requirements.

A. The correct link is listed on the Table A included in the pdf version of the solicitation. The Word version of Table A has been corrected on the homepage. Although there is a "Draft" marking on the site, that is the correct document to review.

Q.46 For new companies how should past performance be represented? If past experience has not been conducted as a prime or subcontractor can individual experience covered over the last 3 years be presented as past performance. (Pg. 77, para 5.4)

A. If the offeror is a newly formed company that does not have any past performance, the past performance of key personnel may be included.

Q.47 A subcontractor just recently changed its company information and re-registered with the state of Maryland. How will this look with Navy Seaport? The state of Maryland will show the subcontractor's existence beginning in 2008. (Pg. 29 H.6)

A. This could be addressed in the narrative portion of the technical proposal explaining the re-registration.

Q.48 Do subcontractors need a CAGE code? (Pg. 72,252.204-7001)

A. The CAGE code is mandatory for the prime contractor offeror. Team members should also have a CAGE code and DUNS number.

Q.49 Factor 3 Cost/Price (page 85), states that a pass through rate of greater than 8% will result in an Unsatisfactory rating for this Factor. The Overall Rating scale on page 85 states that one Unsatisfactory rating in any of the 3 Factors will result in an Overall rating of Unsatisfactory. Will a pass through rate of greater than 8% preclude us from being a viable Offeror or receiving task orders?

A. Yes, if the pass through rate proposed exceeds 8%, an "Unsatisfactory" rating will be assigned and your company will not be considered for an award.

Q.50 Page 78, paragraph 7 (third paragraph under (c) Subcontracting), states that for Large Business Primes "at least 20% of the total amount obligated under the contract must be subcontracted to small businesses". Factor 1 Technical Capability, page 82, indicates that the Offeror must plan to subcontract 20% of the total dollars to small businesses in order to obtain a Satisfactory Rating. We do not presently have plans to use any subcontractors in support of anticipated work on subsequent task orders, and the definition for Factor 1 on page 83 indicates this would result in an Unsatisfactory rating for this Factor. Your response to Question 29 on the subject of an Offeror who does not

plan on any subcontractors at this time is as follows: “No, that would not prevent you from being able to receive an Outstanding rating.” However, the Overall Rating scale on page 85 states that one Unsatisfactory rating in any of the 3 Factors will result in an Overall rating of Unsatisfactory. As a Large Business Prime, we do not presently have plans to use any subcontractors for anticipated work under this IDIQ. Will this preclude us from being a responsive Offeror and receiving task orders?

A. As a Large Business prime contractor, you are required to submit a Subcontracting Plan with your proposal that addresses the goals stated in the solicitation (in accordance with FAR 19.7 and DFAR 219.7). These goals would be evaluated over the life of the contract (the base period and both Award Term options). Offers from a Large Business that fail to submit a subcontracting plan with the 20% goal would get an “Unsatisfactory” rating and therefore not be considered for award.

Q.51 We have a contract to perform work in Honolulu, Hawaii, where the contracting officer's representative (COR) is located. However, the federal agency has centralized all contracting activity in Charleston, SC, and that is where the contract was actually issued. Question: For the geographic zone, can we count Hawaii, where the work is actually performed and where the COR is, rather than Charleston, where we have never performed work?

A. If your company has a local office in Hawaii, you would meet the zone presence criteria. Otherwise, you would qualify for the zone where the contract was issued – in this case it would be Zone 3 where Charleston is located. The three criteria for zone presence are: the prime has a local office in the zone, a team member has a local office in the zone, or the prime has received a prime contract or subcontract from an activity in the zone.

Q.52 Under SEAPORT-e Table A: Would it be acceptable to enter the same contract and Government POC for several functional areas?

A. Yes, the same contract can be referenced for multiple Functional Areas.

Q.53 Having reviewed Seaport-e solicitation and the associated questions and answers, there appears to be some inconsistency in the treatment of work experience from non-DoD sources. Is our understanding correct that the government will consider work performed, that is equivalent to work described in the Seaport -e SoW, but from outside DoD meaningful if the relevance of the work is explained? For example will the government consider work performed for civilian agencies within the federal government and commercial entities relevant?

A. Work performed for other than Navy, Marine Corps or DTRA activities could be considered meaningful if it is equivalent to the work described in the Seaport e Statement of Work and performed for the DoD.

Q.54 Can we use the Arial font (various sizes, 12pt or larger) as our header font? Can we use Arial 10 pt. as our table font?

A. The requirement for 12-point Times New Roman font applies to the text of your proposal. A different font can be used for headers and in the Table A.

Q.55 Page 68 describes the "first page of the proposal" and the information that this page should contain. Can this page follow the Volume cover but come before the Volume title page?

- * Cover
- * "first page"
- * title page
- * table of contents and the rest of the proposal

A. As stated on page 76 of the solicitation, the cover letter super cedes and replaces the "First Page" requirements referred to in FAR 52.215-1 on page 68.

Q.56 Is there a minimum or maximum number of past performance per zone

A. There is no minimum or maximum number.

Q.57 To be considered for multiple zones in a functional area should we list multiple past performances

A. The same contract can be used as a reference to multiple Functional Areas.

Q.58 Do you expect the past performance portion of Table A (pg1) to fit an 8 1/2 x 11" page. Is there a font size for table A? In the Question and Answers from the draft solicitation, question 32 indicated that table A had to fit on two pages. Do you mean 2 pages per zone?

A. Table A should be limited to the 2 pages as shown on Attachment J.4. Additional information can be provided in the narrative section of the technical proposal.

Q.59 p75 4.2 "no hyperlinks", what about captions and x-references in the text?

A. Captions and x-references would be allowed.

Q.60 p75 4.2 all files w/file extensions ".doc".....does that mean the pricing volume must be in MS Word?

A. Yes, the pricing volume should be in MS Word format.

Q.61 p75 4.2 can we sue Arial 10pt (the visual equivalent of Times New Roman 12) for table text

A. There is no restrictions for the font to be used in Table A.

Q.62 Does the TOC count in the page count

A. Yes, the Table Of Contents is included in the 20 page limitation.

Q.63 p67 refers to the "cover page," p68 refers to the "first page," and p70 refers to the "title page." Are these all one page? Is it acceptable to have a cover page on the exterior?

A. The cover page super cedes and replaces the "first page" references.

Q.64 Page 77 of 85 section 5.4.1(a). Could the Government explain the Sheet 2 of Table A in more detail? Is the intent to indicate total workforce or just a single individual against each area proposed?

A. Personnel should be listed that will demonstrate the offeror's experienced and educated workforce that would be performing under the contract.

Q.65 Page 79 of 85 section 5.5. Could you clarify what is required to be provided for pricing data for CLINs 1000, 4000, and 7000? Is this just a single rate?

A. The highest fully burdened rate for a single labor category (for either the prime contractor or a team member) should be included in CLIN 1000. This rate would then be escalated to calculate the rate for CLINs 4000 and 7000.

Q.66 SF 33, block 9 Lists the due date as 27 March 7, 2008. Page 74 of 85 section 2.6 requests that registration information be provided by 25 March 2008. What is the due date for proposals and when should the information be registered?

A. It is requested that the online registration be completed by 25 March 2008. Proposals need to be received at NSWC Dahlgren by 2:00 P.M. EST on Thursday, 27 March 2008.

Q.67 Page 74 of 85, section 2.6 requires offerors to register information at the SeaPort-e web site. Some of the information required is the CLIN pricing from the contract. Should this information be entered concurrent with proposal submission or should it be entered after contract award?

A. The information entered on the online registration needs to be completed by 25 March 2008 and should be identical to the information included in the proposal submission.

Q.68 Page 78 of 85, section 5.4.1(c) requires all offerors to address all team members on the SeaPort-e Contractor Information Registration site. Is this information required from the prime contractor or are subcontractors required to register also?

A. The prime contractor would enter the information concerning the team members on the online registration.

Q.69 (Section 4.2, p. 75) For flow charts and tables only, is it acceptable to use Arial font instead of Times New Roman?

A. All text should have the 12-point Times New Roman font. There is no restriction concerning the font that is used for Table A.

Q.70 (Section 2.1.3 and 2.3, p. 74) Section 2.1.3 states that we must submit a CD-ROM that includes the offeror's entire proposal submission. Section 2.3 states that these files must be in a Microsoft Office compatible format. Should the electronic RFP submittal consist only of the completed Word file "2008_fill_in_pages.doc" as provided by the government (which comprises selected fill-in pages from the RFP as a whole) OR will the government provide the complete solicitation in Word format?

A. The applicable fill-in sections of the solicitation have been provided as a Word document. The offeror's complete proposal submission should be included on the CD-ROM.

Q.71 (4.3, p. 76) Is the Table of Contents excluded from the page count in both the Technical and Cost/Price Proposals?

A. The Table of Contents would be included in the page limitations.

Q.72 Reference Page 79, Section L, Paragraph 5.6 If an offeror has an ID/IQ contract issued in Zone 5 by an agency other than the Navy, Marine Corps, or DTRA, which contains numerous Navy task orders where work is performed in Zone 3 under that contract, can this contract be used to establish zone presence? Which zone would be considered?

A. The contract being referenced for zone presence should be meaningful work that is performed for one of the authorized ordering offices (Navy, Marine Corps, DTRA). The zone where the activity issuing the contract is located would be the zone that could be applied for – not the zone where the work is being performed.

Q.73 Reference Page 79, Section L, Paragraph 5.6 Is there a time limit for zone presence credit? Must contracts have been issued within a specific period of time?

A. It is desired that the referenced contracts would have been awarded within the past three (3) years.

Q.74 Reference Page 75, Section L, Paragraph 4.2 In the second subparagraph of paragraph 4.2, the statement is made: "Table A (will be provided electronically or on disk):" Please clarify whether a printed Table A is to be included in the proposal, or is it only to be provided electronically?

A. The offeror needs to include a hard copy of the Table A with their proposal submission as well as on the CD-ROM.

Q.75 Reference Page 76, Section L, Paragraph 4.3 In subparagraph Volume I, Evaluation Factor 1, (c) Subcontracting; - There is a stated requirement for Table A plus 5 pages. There is no discussion about Table A on page 78, Section L, Paragraph 5.4.1 (c) which discusses the details of the subcontracting data requirements. Please clarify if there is an actual requirement for Table A in the subcontracting portion of the proposal. If there is, what are the data and format requirements for it?

A. If team members are being proposed, they would need to be included on the Table A submission if they are being used to demonstrate technical capability. The five page narrative would include those areas included in paragraph c under 5.4.1 listed on page 78 of the solicitation.

Q.76 RFP pg 6 AND Table A / Attachment J.4 / pg 101. NAVFAC is listed in the SOW on pg 6. However, NAVFAC does not appear in the mission areas identified via hyperlink in Table A. Is it acceptable to reference NAVFAC missions?

A. NAVFAC is an authorized ordering office so references can be made to NAVFAC missions.

Q.77 Page 77 of 85, Section 5.4.1.a, - Depth and Breadth: states that the "... experience and expertise that the offeror (or offeror's team) has performed within the past three (3) years...", while on Page 80 of 85, in Section 3.1, Factor 1 it states that "Each offeror will be evaluated on its capability to perform the requirements from the statement of work based on the relevant experience in the proposed zone." Please confirm that the Depth and Breadth will be evaluated on the whole teams' capability, not just the prime offeror's capability.

A. The experience of the offeror and their team will be evaluated to determine technical capability.

Q.78 Page 77 of 85, Section 5.4.1.a, - Depth and Breadth: states "Experience in these functional areas may be gained from working with any of the Navy, Marine Corps and/or DTRA activities as well as other Government Organizations." Please confirm that it is not required for the offeror to have experience with the Navy, Marine Corps and/or DTRA to be considered for award.

A. Work performed for other than Navy, Marine Corps or DTRA activities could be considered meaningful if it is equivalent to the work described in the Seaport e Statement of Work and performed for the DoD.

Q.79 Please confirm that “other Government Organizations” include both US and non-US government agencies.

A. Work referenced should be for U.S. Government agencies.

Q.80 Page 77 of 85, Section 5.4.1.a, - Depth and Breadth: states “Offerors should also identify in each instance if the effort performed was as a prime or subcontractor” and on Page 79 of 85, in Section 5.6, Zone Consideration – ‘Presence’ it states “To be considered in one or more of the seven zones, you must have held or currently hold a prime contract, subcontract or currently have a local office....”. If the work was accomplished as a subcontractor which zone is to be considered, the location of the eventual government customer or the location of the prime in which the subcontract is held? For instance, if a company has a subcontract with Prime X which is located in Zone 1 and Prime X’s government customer is in Zone 2, would the subcontract work count towards Zone 1 or Zone 2.

A. For a contract being referenced, you would cite the zone in which the activity that issued the contract is located.

Q.81 Page 79 of 85, in Section 5.6, Zone Consideration – ‘Presence’ it states “For the purpose of the qualification requirement, contract means meaningful work performed for one or more of the ordering activities sites and field activities in one or more of the Functional Areas.” Please confirm that it is not required for the offeror to have experience with the Navy, Marine Corps and/or DTRA within a Zone to be qualified for a presence in that Zone. Would work performed for the United States Marine Corp (USMC) contracted through an United States Air Force (USAF) Contracting vehicle (funds executed by Naval Air Warfare Center, Training Systems Division (NAWC TSD)), qualify the offeror for a presence in that Zone if the work performed was equivalent to the work described in the Seaport-e SOW? Would the Zone presence be within the USAF contracting office zone or within the NAWC TSD zone?

A. For purposes of meeting the zone presence criteria, the contract should be from one of the Navy, Marine Corps, or DTRA activities.

Q.82 Our question is about the geographic zones: We have a contract to perform work in Honolulu, Hawaii, where the contracting officer's representative (COR) is located. However, the federal agency has centralized all contracting activity in Charleston, SC, and that is where the contract was actually issued. Question: For the geographic zone, can we count Hawaii, where the work is actually performed and where the COR is, rather than Charleston, where we have never performed work?

A. You would qualify for zone 3 where Charleston is located since that is the activity that issued the contract.

Q.83 Reference Paragraph 4.3, Item (c) Subcontracting Page Limit narrative, Page 76 of 85. The page limit narrative states "Table A plus 5 pages"..... It is unclear what Table A has to do with Subcontracting. Please clarify.

A. If team members are being used to determine technical capability for a Functional Area, they would need to be included in the Table A submitted with your technical proposal. The 5 page narrative should address those areas covered in paragraph 5.4.1 c on page 78 of the solicitation.

Q.84 On page 76 of 85, Volume I, Evaluation Factor 1, (c) Subcontracting, the solicitation says the Page Limit is "Table A plus 5 pages". Also, one Table A for each Zone proposed is required just above, under Volume I, Evaluation Factor 1, (a) Depth and Breadth. Does the Government want us to submit our Table A under both (a) and (c)?

A. The Table A will be included in your Technical proposal and should be with the information required in paragraph (a).

Q.85 Table A template includes a column that contains the hyperlink: www.seaport.navy.mil/activities. We understand we are to use information at this link to fill in the column, but could not access this link. Did the Government intend to use the hyperlink from a previous year Table A: http://www.seaport.navy.mil/activities/mission_areas.doc? We could access what we believe to be the correct information at this link. Please advise.

A. The correct link was listed in the Table A included in the pdf version of the solicitation. The Word version of Table A has been updated to include the correct link.

Q.86. Reference (a): L.5.4.1 (a) and TableA_AttachmentJ4, column 6, "Mission Areas For Which Function Was Performed www.seaport.navy.mil/activities" The given link does not load. If the URL is typed in, one receives the error message "You don't have permission to access /activities/ on this server". Could you please provide the necessary information on the "Mission Areas."

A. The correct link was listed in the Table A included in the pdf version of the solicitation. The Word version of Table A has been updated to include the correct link.

Q.87 Could you please explain the importance of the Mission areas and how they factor in to the proposal process? What is the advantage to showing all mission areas where we have past performance and how could it be a disadvantage to not note mission areas we have had past performance?

A. The Mission Areas provide a description of the type of work that the authorized ordering offices perform. By including in Table A which of the mission areas are related to the referenced contracts, it is demonstrating that your company has the technical capability and experience in performing similar work.

Q.88 With respect to Question 15C in the SF33 form, it states that if the remittance address is different from the address entered in 15A, such address needs to be entered in Schedule. Appreciate if you could let me know as to which schedule should we enter the remittance address and where can we find this Schedule.

A. If the remittance address is different, you would need to check Block 15C on the SF33 and include the address in the cover letter of your proposal.

Q.89 I am attempting to access www.seaport.navy.mil/activities in order to complete the Mission Areas section in Table A, yet when I click on the link in Table A, I get an error saying it cannot download that particular information, and when I type that in my browser, I get an error that I do not have permission to access /activities/ on this server. Can you please provide assistance for accessing the Mission Areas?

A. The correct link was listed in the Table A included in the pdf version of the solicitation. The Word version of Table A has been updated to include the correct link.

Q.90 Reference (a): L.5.4.1 (a) It is clear that a separate Table A is to be completed for each Zone. However, it is not clear whether the experience of each team member is to be entered on a separate Table A or if all team members are entered in one Table A per zone. Please clarify.

A. A separate Table A for each team member is not required. The Table A for the zone can combine the prime contractor and their team members.

Q.91 Having reviewed Seaport-e solicitation and the associated questions and answers, there appears to be some inconsistency in the treatment of work experience from non-DoD sources. Is our understanding correct that the government will consider work performed, that is equivalent to work described in the Seaport -e SoW, but from outside DoD meaningful if the relevance of the work is explained? For example will the government consider work performed for civilian agencies within the federal government and commercial entities relevant?

A. It is desired that the work referenced has been performed for the Navy, Marine Corps, or DTRA activities. Work performed for other than these activities could be considered meaningful if it is equivalent to the work described in the Seaport e Statement of Work and performed for the DoD.

Q.92 The Solicitation states the following "Flow charts and tables are not considered graphics if they appear in the management approach, cost savings approach, or technical capability section." Question: Can we use Tables to depict benefits for the Prime and Team Members as part of the compensation plan? This approach will both be conciseness and of ease when comparing information.

A. Tables are allowed only in the management approach, cost savings approach, and technical capability sections of the proposal.

Q.93 We are an employment agency and our group specializing in the government sector. In Workforce Qualification area of table A, would it be acceptable to list Branch Manager and/or Recruiter name and location vice the employee and discipline information? We are able to locate all disciplines listed with these offices.

A. The information included in Table A should pertain to the employees.

Q.94 Please advise if there are any requirements to register with the base at Dahlgren or any advance requirements that should be completed prior to hand delivering this proposal on 27 March 2008.

A. If you plan to hand deliver your proposal prior to March 27th, it is required that you send an email to e-pco@seaport.navy.mil to coordinate a delivery time. If the delivery will be made on the 27th, no special arrangements need to be made.

Q.95 The current RFP requests a copy of CCR registration as part of the cover letter which is limited to 5 pages. Our CCR information is more than 5 pages. Which of our CCR site pages are to be included in the cover letter?

A. The printout of your CCR registration is provided as an attachment to the cover letter and does not count against the 5 page limitation.